FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

SEP 10 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

LOUIS CHARLES MORGAN,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 06-50234

D.C. No. CR-04-00102-AHS-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Alicemarie H. Stotler, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 8, 2008**

Before: TASHIMA, SILVERMAN, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Louis Charles Morgan appeals from the district court's decision, following a limited remand under *United States v. Ameline*, 409 F.3d 1073, 1084-85 (9th Cir. 2005) (en banc), that it would not have imposed a different sentence had it known

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

that the Sentencing Guidelines were advisory. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Morgan contends that the district court erred by failing to consider the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) sentencing factors during the *Ameline* remand. We conclude that the district court "properly understood the full scope of [its] discretion in a post-*Booker* world." *See United States v. Combs*, 470 F.3d 1294, 1297 (9th Cir. 2006).

AFFIRMED.